

SCIENCE AND
EDUCATION
A NEW
DIMENSION
PEDAGOGY
AND
PSYCHOLOGY



p-ISSN 2308-5258

e-ISSN 2308-1996

II(10), Issue 20, 2014

SCIENCE AND EDUCATION A NEW DIMENSION

Pedagogy and Psychology

Editorial board
Editor-in-chief: Dr. Xénia Vámos – Hungary

Honorary Senior Editor:
Jenő Barkáts, CSc **Nina Tarasenkova, Dr. habil.**

Edvard Ayvazyan, Doctor of Science in Pedagogy, National Institute of Education, Armenia

Ireneusz Pyrzyk, Doctor of Science in Pedagogy, Dean of Faculty of Pedagogical Sciences, University of Humanities and Economics in Włocławek, Poland

Irina Malova, Doctor of Science in Pedagogy, Head of Department of methodology of teaching mathematics and information technology, Bryansk State University named after Academician IG Petrovskii, Russia

Irina S. Shevchenko, Doctor of Science in Philology, Department of ESP and Translation, V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Ukraine

Kosta Garow, PhD in Pedagogy, associated professor, Plovdiv University „Paisii Hilendarski”, Bulgaria

László Kótis, PhD in Physics, Research Centre for Natural Sciences, Hungary, Budapest

Marian Włoshinski, Doctor of Science in Pedagogy, Faculty of Pedagogical Sciences, University of Humanities and Economics in Włocławek, Poland

Melinda Nagy, PhD in Biology, associated professor, Vice-Rector, J. Selye University in Komarno, Slovakia

Anatolij Morozov, Doctor of Science in History, Bohdan Khmelnytsky National University in Cherkasy, Ukraine

Nikolai N. Boldyrev, Doctor of Science in Philology, Professor and Vice-Rector in Science, G.R. Derzhavin State University in Tambov, Russia

Oleg Melnikov, Doctor of Science in Pedagogy, Belarusian State University, Belarus

Riskeldy Turgunbayev, CSc in Physics and Mathematics, associated professor, head of the Department of Mathematical Analysis, Dean of the Faculty of Physics and Mathematics of the Tashkent State pedagogical University, Uzbekistan

Roza Uteeva, Doctor of Science in Pedagogy, Head of the Department of Algebra and Geometry, Togliatti State University, Russia

Seda K. Gasparyan, Doctor of Science in Philology, Department of English Philology, Professor and Chair, Yerevan State University, Armenia

Svitlana A. Zhabotynska, Doctor of Science in Philology, Department of English Philology of Bohdan Khmelnytsky National University in Cherkasy, Ukraine

Tatyana Prokhorova, Doctor of Science in Pedagogy, Professor of Psychology, Department chair of pedagogics and subject technologies, Astrakhan state university, Russia

Valentina Orlova, CSc in Economics, Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical University of Oil and Gas, Ukraine

Vasil Milloushev, Doctor of Science in Pedagogy, professor of Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Plovdiv University „Paisii Hilendarski”, Plovdiv, Bulgaria

Veselin Kostov Vasilev, Doctor of Psychology, Professor and Head of the department of Psychology Plovdiv University „Paisii Hilendarski”, Bulgaria

Vladimir I. Karasik, Doctor of Science in Philology, Department of English Philology, Professor and Chair, Volgograd State Pedagogical University, Russia

Volodimir Lizogub, Doctor of Science in Biology, Head of the department of anatomy and physiology of humans and animals, Bohdan Khmelnytsky National University in Cherkasy, Ukraine

Zinaida A. Kharitonchik, Doctor of Science in Philology, Department of General Linguistics, Minsk State Linguistic University, Belarus

Zoltán Poór, CSc in Language Pedagogy, Head of Institute of Pedagogy, Apáczai Csere János Faculty of the University of West Hungary

Managing editor:
Barkáts N.

© EDITOR AND AUTHORS OF INDIVIDUAL ARTICLES
The journal is published by the support of
Society for Cultural and Scientific Progress in Central and Eastern Europe

BUDAPEST, 2014

Statement:

By submitting a manuscript to this journal, each author explicitly confirms that the manuscript meets the highest ethical standards for authors and coauthors. Each author acknowledges that fabrication of data is an egregious departure from the expected norms of scientific conduct, as is the selective reporting of data with the intent to mislead or deceive, as well as the theft of data or research results from others. By acknowledging these facts each author takes personal responsibility for the accuracy, credibility and authenticity of research results described in their manuscripts. All the articles are published in author's edition.

The journal is listed and indexed in:

INNO SPACE SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL IMPACT FACTOR: 2.642

DIRECTORY OF RESEARCH JOURNAL INDEXING

ULRICHS WEB GLOBAL SERIALS DIRECTORY

UNION OF INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATIONS YEARBOOK

SCRIBD

ACADEMIA.EDU

GOOGLE SCHOLAR

CONTENT

PEDAGOGY	7
<i>Koycheva T.I.</i> Functions of research activity of teachers from higher pedagogical educational establishments	7
<i>Dakher K., Kolomiets S.</i> Some approaches and models into teaching mathematical disciplines for economists in higher education institutions	11
<i>Gryshkova R.O.</i> Quality of Higher Education and State Educational Standards in Ukraine.....	15
<i>Lizák K.</i> The Relation between Breadth and Depth of Vocabulary Knowledge and EFL Learner's Speaking Performance.....	19
<i>Ostafiychuk O.D.</i> SWOT Analysis in Teaching ESP	22
<i>Sheina O.O.</i> Studying English through poetic texts	26
<i>Тумчук Л.І.</i> Sociocultural mission of adult education: historical lessons of 20-30's in XX century	29
<i>Волкодав Т.А.</i> Аналіз умов підготовки фахівців до наступної самоосвітньої діяльності у коледжах фінансово-економічного профілю	35
<i>Атаманчук П.С., Білик Р.М., Дмитрук С.І., Чайковська І.А., Шевчук О.В.</i> Лабораторний практикум як технологічний засіб формування професійних якостей майбутнього вчителя фізики	39
<i>Базарова Е.В.</i> Культурно-образовательная функция колледжа в формировании культуры деловых отношений младших специалистов.....	43
<i>Барабаш О.Д.</i> Особливості оволодіння педагогами способами професійної діяльності в умовах післядипломної освіти	47
<i>Бевз В.Г., Силенок Г.А.</i> Формування інтелектуальних умінь студентів під час вивчення вищої математики.....	51
<i>Бодненко Т.В., Гриценко О.Н.</i> Проблемы образовательных измерений в высшей школе.....	55
<i>Гандабура О.В.</i> Професійний саморозвиток майбутніх учителів початкових класів як педагогічна проблема.....	58
<i>Годованюк Т.Л., Тягай І.М.</i> Формування педагогічної майстерності у майбутніх учителів математики в педагогічному університеті	62
<i>Кліндухова В.М., Ляшко О.В.</i> Про формування професійної математичної компетентності майбутніх фахівців морської галузі.....	66
<i>Мерва Л.С.</i> Компоненты, критерии и показатели определения уровня готовности будущих учителей начальной школы к сотрудничеству с одаренными учениками.....	70
<i>Кузьмінський А. І., Тарасенкова Н.А., Коваленко О.А. Богатирьова І.М., Коломієць О.М., Сердюк З.О., Третяк М.В.</i> Організація роботи школярів в умовах заочних математичних студій „Я і моя математика”	75
<i>Олійник М.И.</i> Некоторые аспекты международного сотрудничества высших учебных заведений в контексте подготовки специалистов дошкольного образования.....	79
<i>Пелех Л. Р.</i> Аксиологічна направленість організованого педагогічного процесу (україно-польський досвід)	85
<i>Писарчук О.Т.</i> Активізація навчально-пізнавальної діяльності молодших школярів в умовах освітньо-розвивального середовища: проблеми і шляхи розв'язання	90
<i>Подольняська Н.О.</i> Білінгвальна освіта. Роль англійської та етнічних мов у системі шкільної освіти Сполучених Штатів Америки.....	93
<i>Ротар В.Б.</i> Теоретические основы профессиональной подготовки будущих специалистов пожарной безопасности	97
<i>Чирва А.С.</i> Вимірювання інтернаціоналізації вищої освіти.....	99

PSYCHOLOGY	103
<i>Nikitina O.P., Lozovoy D.A.</i> The level of affiliation as a factor of the social-psychological adaptation of Turkmen students who are studying in Ukraine.....	103
<i>Антропов А.П.</i> Эмоциональные реакции как один из факторов психических состояний личности.....	107
<i>Бабчук О.Г.</i> Дослідження якісних характеристик емпатії у толерантних та інтолерантних осіб.....	111
<i>Гридковец Л.М.</i> Вплив родинної детермінанти на подолання особистісних криз	114
<i>Калюжна Л.Б.</i> Використання біографічного методу для дослідження творчості Василя Симоненка.....	118
<i>Кандыба М.О.</i> Психологические особенности эмоциональной зрелости личности.....	122
<i>Клибайс Т.В.</i> Диагностические свойства методики “адаптивные возможности атрибутивного стиля взрослых”.....	126
<i>Костюченко І.А.</i> Реалізації стилів управлінської діяльності працівниками юстиції.....	130
<i>Одінцова А.М.</i> Особистісні прояви юнаків із різними рівнями розвитку життєвих ролей	134
<i>Ришко Г.М.</i> Основні методологічні теорії та підходи вивчення стресостійкості особистості	139
<i>Терлецька Ю.М.</i> Вплив економічної депривації викладачів вищої школи на ефективність їх професійної діяльності.....	143

Lizák K.

The Relation between Breadth and Depth of Vocabulary Knowledge and EFL Learner's Speaking Performance

Lizák Katalin, senior lecturer, Department of Philology,
II. Rákóczi Ferenc Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute, Berehove, Ukraine

Abstract. The present article is an attempt to highlight the sustained attention to the EFL learners' vocabulary knowledge and its development, as well as its relation to the development of speaking performance. It intends to determine whether breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge are related to EFL learners' speaking performance, and to examine which one of these variables, that is, depth or breadth of vocabulary knowledge, makes a more important contribution to foreign language learners' speaking performance. It also attempts to investigate whether there is a relationship between these two vocabulary knowledge dimensions. Finally, the study tries to determine whether there are any differences between ESL and EFL learners' development of the vocabulary knowledge.

Keywords: *vocabulary acquisition, vocabulary breadth, vocabulary depth, speaking performance.*

The importance of vocabulary acquisition for EFL learners is indisputably regarded as essential in language learning nowadays. However, there were times when vocabulary learning was either completely neglected in language classrooms or at least tolerated. At the present time vocabulary is viewed as a significant element of language development and considered a decisive feature of foreign language proficiency.

Foreign language vocabulary acquisition has become an increasingly challenging subject of discussion and research, including such issue as vocabulary assessment in language testing [10, 11]. Vocabulary size has also been found to correlate with reading comprehension as well as with writing ability [16, 9]. In addition, previous studies have demonstrated that vocabulary has connections with listening [6]. Moreover, vocabulary has been recognised as one of the essential and fundamental components of communication [5, 19]. According to Meara [12] studies of vocabulary have dramatically increased over the past 20 years.

However, to date, there are still questions that either have not been raised but exist in the EFL teaching and learning practice, or only few studies have focused on them. One of such issues is the relationship between the EFL students' vocabulary knowledge and their speaking performance.

Therefore, the current article is an attempt to highlight certain issues of vocabulary knowledge of EFL learners and focus on the correlation between vocabulary and speaking performance with a shift on the quality of the vocabulary needed to achieve fluency in a foreign language.

One of the major interests addressed by researchers is the number of words a foreign language learner needs to communicate successfully. Vocabulary size has received more attention than the quality of vocabulary. Accordingly, most of the researchers and language teaching specialists focus their attention on the vocabulary size rather than on the the quality of vocabulary.

As Nation [15] states the number of words that educated native speakers of English know is around 20,000 word families and for each year of their early life they add on average 1,000 word families. Studies of word frequency counts reveal that knowledge of the 2,000 most frequent word families constitutes a threshold of the words required for basic oral communication. These 2,000 word families make up about 87% of written texts and about 80% of typical academic texts [13]. The studies looking at

the relationship between vocabulary size and reading comprehension show that a student ought to know about 3,000 word families to comprehend most texts. This number, which represents around 4,800 lexical items, is termed the 'threshold vocabulary'. Once this level has been reached, it is proposed that learners will be fully capable of applying context-guessing and other learning strategies, and as such might be considered to have reached the point at which intentional learning has stopped to be an efficient use of class time [11].

Linguists agree that about 4,000-5,000 word families are necessary for comprehending academic texts [20]. In addition, Hazenberg, S., & Hulstijn, J.H. [8] estimate that a base of at least 10,000 word families, half of what an average native speaker knows, is needed to study at the university level. A university graduate has a vocabulary of around 20,000 word families. The gap between an adult learner of English as a foreign language and that of a native speaker is usually very large, since EFL learners usually know less than 5000 word families.

A survey to measure the student's vocabulary size was carried out at the Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute [24]. The participants of this study were Hungarian learners of English. To estimate the word-power of the English major students Nation and Laufer's Vocabulary Levels Test [11] was chosen as the Productive Vocabulary Level Test requires the student to produce the word as they would if they were speaking, and thus seems to more realistically indicate natural language use. It ensures that the learner does not produce an alternative that might fit the context and be unaffected by any similar word or a word from the word family. According to the survey the average vocabulary of a student was estimated at around 3700-4000 words. This is the number of words needed to comprehend most texts and even certain academic texts. Everybody who is involved in foreign language teaching agrees that EFL learners need explicit instruction. However, in practice, it is frequently assumed that speaking performance can be developed mostly by enriching the student's vocabulary. On the one hand, acquiring adequate words to build one's vocabulary is crucial to both second and foreign language learners. On the other hand, whereas ESL learners are exposed to the English language and they learn to use it by being surrounded by native language speakers, the situation is quite different with foreign language learners who do not have exposure to the language and learn it only in the foreign language classroom. In the case of foreign language learners native-

like fluency and grammatical accuracy are difficult to achieve without knowledge of collocations. However, since these collocations occur randomly and have quite unpredictable nature, language learners face seemingly insurmountable difficulties in acquiring English. For instance, it is correct in English to speak about *burning desire* and *blazing row* but is incorrect to speak about *blazing desire* or *burning row*; we say *heavy smoker/traffic/suitcase/rain/timetable/meal* but we do not say *strong smoker/traffic/suitcase/rain/timetable/meal*, though at the same time *strong* collocates with *influence/views/support/accents* and so on. However, in order to become fluent and accurate speakers of English it is necessary to learn these collocations. The aforementioned survey shows that even though the students have a sufficient vocabulary the learners' lack of knowledge of collocational patterns of lexical items results in experiencing serious difficulties in speaking.

Moreover, their speech might be characterised as inaccurate and sloppy. The assumption is that students lack components of vocabulary depth, collocation competence, in particular. Beyond doubt vocabulary size is a significant contributor to proficiency in speaking for both ESL and EFL learners whereas vocabulary depth can be considered decisive for EFL learner. Hence, learning to use collocations properly is one of the main aims of vocabulary depth components with foreign language learners as compared to ESL learners. Schmitt and McCarthy [22] and Schmitt [23] propose that knowledge of form, and meaning may be obtained before some of the other aspects such as collocation and register in the process of developing vocabulary. Although it can be assumed that the same prediction be made for foreign language acquisition, the results of the survey and the speaking performance of the surveyed students do not meet the requirements of this assumption.

In the case of foreign language students knowledge of collocations is decisive. For them thematically based instruction of collocations seems to be the most efficient. Guided by the idea of frequency, key words might be chosen on a certain subject and presented to students with their most frequently used collocations in three categories, namely N+N, Adj.+N and V+N since these are the most difficult to acquire for the learners. For instance, collocations may be arranged in the following way:

Climate and weather		
N+N	Adj.+N	N+V
1. Climate		
change	mild/extreme/warm/dry/	vary/change
of suspicion/hostility/	tropical/equatorial/ social/	
distrust/opinion	political/economic/	
2. Weather		
forecast/outlook/	stormy/changeable/	break/change in
conditions/	unpredictable/unsettled/	the~
Snow		
fall/cloud/storm/bank/	melting/heavy/scattered/	covered with ~/
blizzard/drift		blocked by ~

Another problem with the surveyed EFL learners is the difficulty they encounter while trying to further increase their vocabulary after having acquired around 3700-4000 words.

Next issue of vocabulary breadth development worth discussing is the teaching of high frequency words of the

language as defined by Nation. In the language teaching classroom many share Nation's point of view who claims that high frequency words of the language are an immediate high priority and there is little sense in focusing on other vocabulary until these are well learned. Nation [13] argues that only after these high frequency words are learned, the next focus for the teacher is on helping the learners develop strategies to comprehend and learn the low frequency words of the language. Practice shows that this "step-by-step" vocabulary learning technique as suggested by Nation might also contribute to the phenomenon of "fossilizing" these words to such an extent, that students tend to use these words only despite having quite a wide vocabulary. Therefore learning synonyms, antonyms, and word collocations is suggested from the very first stages of acquiring a foreign language. Obviously, age factor as well as proficiency levels of the learner should be considered.

Since not enough attention is given to the factors that inhibit or facilitate the production of spoken language even having a relatively rich vocabulary, students have difficulties in speaking performance. Although vocabulary size is a significant contributor to proficiency in speaking vocabulary depth knowledge can be considered crucial for EFL learners.

However, when vocabulary knowledge is divided into breadth and depth of vocabulary, it should not be forgotten that this division is not a distinctive one. Breadth of vocabulary knowledge is referred to the quantity or number of words learners know at a certain level of language competence while depth of vocabulary knowledge is defined as a learner's levels knowledge of various aspects of a given word. Besides, it is about how they associate and interact with each other. This would include, apart from how words collocate, idioms and multiple possible meanings. Although the amount of empirical research on vocabulary acquisition is increasing, there is still disagreement over a number of issues, including the notion of word knowledge. The first attempt to find a definition was made by Richards, J.C. [18]. However, it is almost impossible either to cover all of the word knowledge categories, or to learn them. Research in the mere area of vocabulary knowledge is approached from different perspectives. One creative line of research, among others, is definitely concerned the two dimensions of vocabulary knowledge, i.e., breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge. A word includes many aspects to be gradually learned by language learners, such as its pronunciation, spelling, register, stylistic and morphological features [7], and knowledge of the word's syntactic and semantic relationships with other words in the language, including collocational meanings and knowledge of antonymy, synonymy, and hyponymy [17].

The complexity of vocabulary knowledge suggests that vocabulary size development is not enough to establish in-depth vocabulary knowledge. Instead, because words are complex, rich and intensive instruction that provides multiple exposures to the words within instructionally rich contexts is necessary in order for students to learn in-depth knowledge of vocabulary and improve their speaking performance.

The current review shows the roles of breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge and their significance in

developing EFL learner's speaking performance. At present both language teachers and researchers have realized that vocabulary is vital to language acquisition and it is worth investigating. The most promising directions seem to be the study of the correlation between vocabulary breadth and depth and their impact on language acquisition. However, unlike traditional instruction, which often involves teaching long definitions or synonyms of vocabulary, depth-vocabulary instruction is a rich instruction

that provides multiple exposures to the words within instructionally rich contexts. Contrary to the opinion that some aspects of ESL knowledge are acquired before others in the course of vocabulary depth instruction, in the case of EFL learners comprehensive instruction seems to be more adequate. Finally, since vocabulary acquisition is a systematic process, it requires on the part of the learners to constantly acquire vocabulary of the target language.

REFERENCES (REFERENCES TRANSLATED AND TRANSLITERATED)

1. Антрушина Г.Б., Афанасьева О.В., Морозова Н.Н. Лексикология английского языка. – М.: Дрофа, 1999. – 288 с.
Antrushina G.B., Afanasyeva O.V., Morozova N.N. Leksikologiya angliyskogo yazyka [Lexicology of the English language]. – M.: Drofa, 1999. – 288 s.
2. Арнольд И.В. Лексикология современного английского языка. – М., 1959.
Arnold I.V. Leksikologiya sovremennogo angliyskogo yazyka [Modern English Lexicology]. – M., 1959.
3. Заботкина В.И. Новая лексика современного английского языка. – М., 1989.
Zabotkina V.I. Novaya leksika sovremennogo angliyskogo yazyka [New vocabulary of modern English language]. – M., 1989.
4. Ивлева Г.Г. Тенденции развития слова и словарного состава. – М., 1986.
Ivleva G.G. Tendentsii razvitiya slova i slovarnogo sostava [Trends of development of word and vocabulary]. – M., 1986.
5. Beglar, D. Spring. Estimating vocabulary size. *JALT Testing & Evaluation SIG Newsletter*. – 2000. – № 4. – с.2-3.
6. Bonk, W.J. 2000. Second language lexical knowledge and listening comprehension. *International Journal of Listening*. – 2000. – №14. – с. 14-31.
7. Haastруп, K., Henriksen, B, 2000. Vocabulary acquisition: acquiring depth of knowledge through network building. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*. – 2000. – № 10/2. – С. 221-240.
8. Hazenberg, S., & Hulstijn, J.H. (1996). Defining a minimal receptive second language vocabulary for non-native university students: An empirical investigation. *Applied Linguistics*. – 1996. – № 7. – С. 145-163.
9. Laufer, B., & Nation, P. 1995. Vocabulary size and use: Lexical richness in L2 written production. *Applied Linguistics*. – 1995. – № 16. – С. 307-322.
10. Laufer, B. 1998. The development of passive and active vocabulary in second language: Same or different? *Applied Linguistics*. – 1998. – № 19. – С. 255-271.
11. Laufer, B. & Nation, P. 1999. A productive-size test of controlled productive ability. *Language testing*. – 1999. – № 16. – С. 33-51.
12. Meara, P. 2002. The rediscovery of vocabulary. *Second Language Research*. – 2002. – № 18. – С.393-407.
13. Nation, I.S.P. and Read, J. (1990) How large can a receptive vocabulary be? *Applied Linguistics*. – 1990. – № 11/ 4. –С. 341-363.
14. Nation, P. & Waring, R. 1997. Vocabulary size, text coverage and word lists. In Schmitt, N. and M. McCarthy (Eds): *Vocabulary: Description, Acquisition and Pedagogy* (pp. 6-19) Cambridge. 1997. Cambridge University Press.
15. Nation, I.S.P. Learning Vocabulary in Another Language (8 ed.). – Cambridge University Press, 2006.
16. Qian, D. 2002. Investigating the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and academic reading performance: An assessment perspective. *Language Learning*. – 2002. – № 52. – С. 513-536.
17. Read, J. 2000. Assessing vocabulary. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000.
18. Richards, J.C. The role of vocabulary teaching. *TESOL Quarterly*. – 1976. – № 10. – С. 77-89.
19. Singleton, D. 1997. Learning and processing L2 vocabulary. *Language Teaching*. – 1997. – № 3. – С. 213-225.
20. Sutarsyah, C., I.S.P. Nation and G. Kennedy (1994) How useful is EAP vocabulary for ESP? A corpus-based case study. *RELC Journal*. – 1994. – № 25/2. – С. 34-50.
21. Vermeer, A. 2001. Breadth and depth of vocabulary in relation to L1-L2 acquisition and frequency of input. *Applied Psycholinguistics*. – 2001. – № – 22 – С.217-234).
22. Schmitt N., McCarthy M. Vocabulary: Description, Acquisition and Pedagogy. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
23. Schmitt N. Tracking the incremental acquisition of second language vocabulary: A longitudinal study. *Language Learning*. – 1998; 48, 281-317.
24. Yablonyko A. Research on Vocabulary Development of Students Majoring in English at the Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute. Unpublished Bachelor Thesis, Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute, Beregszász, Ukraine, 2006.

Лизак К.

Взаимосвязь количественного и качественного состава лексики и развития навыков речи при изучении английского языка как иностранного

Аннотация. Настоящая статья является попыткой указать на необходимость постоянного внимания к лексике и его развития изучающими английский язык как иностранный, а также его связи с развитием навыков говорения как средства формирования коммуникативной компетенции. Она намерена определить влияют ли количественный и качественный состав лексики на развитие навыков говорения, и которая из данных составных имеет более важный вклад на формирование коммуникативной компетенции. Статья также пытается исследовать, есть ли связь между этими двумя составными лексики. В исследовании сделана попытка определить, существуют ли какие-либо различия в процессе развития лексики английского языка между изучающими язык как иностранный и теми учащимися, для которых английский является вторым языком.

Ключевые слова: количественный и качественный состав лексики, коммуникативная компетенция, навыки говорения.

Editor-in-chief: Dr. Xénia Vámos

The journal is published by the support of
Society for Cultural and Scientific Progress in Central and Eastern Europe

Készült a Rózsadomb Contact Kft nyomdájában.
1022 Budapest, Balogvár u. 1.
www.rcontact.hu