## MODERN TRENDS IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING AND APPLIED LINGUISTICS

II. Rákóczi Ferenc Kárpátaljai Magyar Főiskola Закарпатський угорський інститут ім. Ференца Ракоці II Ferenc Rákóczi II Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute

# MODERN TRENDS IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING AND APPLIED LINGUISTICS

(Proceedings of the international conference 'Modern trends in foreign language teaching and applied linguistics in the twenty-first century: Meeting the challenges',

11-12 April, 2014)

ББК: к74.261.7(4Укр.)

УДК: 058:81

C - 96

The present volume publishes the proceedings of the international academic conference held on 11 April, 2014 at the Ferenc Rákóczi II Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute. During the event, the participants worked in two sections. In the first one, presentations on language pedagogy could be heard, while in the second one participants discussed applied linguistic issues. The internationally known and acknowledged plenary speakers and presenters spoke about the most modern trends of their research areas. The written-up version of the presentations has been collected and published in one volume so that they could reach a wider audience.

Jelen kötet a 2014. április 11-én a II. Rákóczi Ferenc Kárpátaljai Magyar Főiskolán megtartott nemzetközi tudományos konferencia írott anyagait tartalmazza. Az eseményen két szekcióban folyt a munka. Az egyikben nyelvpedagógiai, a másikban alkalmazott nyelvészeti kutatásokról hangzottak el előadások. A nemzetközileg ismert és elismert előadók kutatási területeik legmodernebb irányzatairól értekeztek. Az előadások szerkesztett változatát egy kötetbe gyűjtöttük össze, hogy minél szélesebb szakmai közönséghez jusson el.

EDITORS: *Ilona Huszti* and *Ilona Lechner* Szerkesztők: *Huszti Ilona* és *Lechner Ilona* 

# **Contents**

Preface
Medgyes, Péter WHY WON'T THE LITTLE BEASTS BEHAVE?
Orosz, Ildikó POSSIBILITIES OF HUNGARIAN LANGUAGE EDUCATION IN TRANSCARPATHIA IN THE LIGHT OF A DISRESPECTED LANGUAGE LAW
Ajtay-Horváth, Magda CONTEXTS CHALLENGED BY POETRY29
Bárány, Erzsébet METHODOLOGICAL QUESTIONS OF THE ACQUISITION OF UKRAINIAN AS A SECOND LANGUAGE (USL) IN TRANSCARPATHIAN HUNGARIAN SCHOOLS: PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS37
Csernicskó, István THE PROBLEMS OF TEACHING UKRAINIAN AS A STATE LANGUAGE IN TRANSCARPATHIA45
Fábián, Márta IMPACT OF MONOLINGUAL AND BILINGUAL ENVIRONMENT ON THE RECEPTIVE SKILLS AND LEARNING STRATEGIES OF YOUNG LEARNERS OF EFL IN TRANSCARPATHIAN HUNGARIAN SCHOOLS53
Fodor, Gyula LANGUAGE SITUATION IN UKRAINE AND POSSIBILITIES OF TEACHING THE TRANSCARPATHIAN GEOGRAPHICAL HERITAGE THROUGH ENGLISH64
Frank, Jerrold WHAT IS A GOOD ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHER?72
Henkel, Beatrix THE HOW AND WHY OF MINORITY LEARNERS' LANGUAGE MOTIVATION
Horváth, József "TWO WORLDS AND US" ORIGINALITY IN UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' E-BOOKS IN90
Huszti, Ilona DO MENTORS AND TRAINEES AGREE? INSIGHTS INTO THE PROCESSES OF BECOMING A TEACHER101
Illés, Éva ENGLISH AS A LINGUA FRANCA IN LANGUAGE PEDAGOGY 119
Kovács, Júlia A CASE STUDY OF A JAPANESE-HUNGARIAN SECONDARY- SCHOOL EFL STUDENT: THE INTERACTION OF SOCIOCULTURAL IDENTITY, LANGUAGES AND WILLINGNESS TO COMMUNICATE 132
Lechner, Ilona IDIOMS BASED ON METAPHORS IN THE FLA PROCESS FROM A COGNITIVE LINGUISTIC PERSPECTIVE
Márku, Anita and Bartha, Csilla RESEARCHING THE INTERNET LANGUAGE USE AS A MODERN TREND IN APPLIED LINGUISTICS

Negre, Marianna THE INFLUENCE OF MULTILINGUALISM ON LEARNING THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE
Pecsora, Krisztina 'COURSEBOOKS ARE NEEDED MUCH MORE BY THE PUPILS THAN TEACHERS': THE ANALYSES OF ENGLISH TEXTBOOKS FOR FORMS 5 AND 6 USED IN TRANSCARPATHIAN HUNGARIAN SCHOOLS
Pillar, Granville W. VIDEO-TEXT VERSUS AUDIO-TEXT AS STIMULUS FOR L2 INSTRUCTION AND ASSESSMENT196
Szerencsi, Katalin A LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS OF TOP AMERICAN PRESIDENTIAL INAUGURATION A DDRESSES
Szőcs, Krisztina LANGUAGE TEACHERS' PERCEPTION REGARDING LANGUAGE LEARNING AUTONOMY AND SELF-ASSESSMENT IN A HUNGARIAN SECONDARY SCHOOL CONTEXT223
Vraukó, Tamás "BREEDING" TEXTS FOR TRANSLATION PRACTICE236
Contributors 244

# THE PROBLEMS OF TEACHING UKRAINIAN AS A STATE LANGUAGE IN TRANSCARPATHIA

#### ISTVÁN CSERNICSKÓ

Ferenc Rákóczi II Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute
Department of Philology
csistvan@kmf.uz.ua

The efficiency of state language (Ukrainian) teaching is poor and unbalanced in the Transcarpathian Hungarian schools for several reasons.<sup>1</sup>

#### 1 The status of modern-day Transcarpathia over the last 150 years

The territory of the administration unit that we call Transcarpathia today existed neither as a geographical, nor as a geopolitical entity. Throughout the 20th century it belonged to several countries (Csernicskó & Ferenc, 2014). The state language has changed six times during the 20th century and accordingly changed the compulsory language taught in the schools of the region. The compulsory state language role was fulfilled by the Hungarian, 'Czechoslovakian', Russian and Ukrainian.

There were always generations left out from compulsory language education during the state- and state language-changes. The 'Czechoslovakian' language, for example, was introduced as a compulsory subject in every Transcarpathian school, but those who graduated before this year had never come across the language at school. After the power shift in 1938/39, a generation was, again, excluded from Hungarian language teaching. Although, after WWII, the teaching of Russian was emphasised by the Soviet authorities, those who left school earlier had no chance to learn Russian at school in an instructed way. Then, when suddenly compulsory Russian language teaching was replaced by Ukrainian, many people did not study Ukrainian because of the above mentioned reasons, not to mention those who attended school during the transitional periods. Students, for example, who were in the 5th form in the academic year of 1990/1991 in a Transcarpathian Hungarian school learned Russian for the first 5 years, then commencing on 1 September 1991 they were taught in Ukrainian.

The efficient teaching of Ukrainian is hindered by many factors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This problem has been widely researched and discussed (cf. Csernicskó 1998a (pp. 164-173), 1998b, 1998c, 1999, 2001, 2004, 2009a, 2009b, 2011, 2012, Orosz & Csernicskó, 1999 (pp. 70-83)).

#### 2 The lack of qualified teachers

In the academic year of 1997/1998, 60% of teachers teaching Ukrainian in minority schools of Transcarpathia had no qualification in Ukrainian language teaching (Beregszászi, Csernicskó & Orosz 2001), while in the academic year of 2008/2009, 40% had (Motilchak, 2009). In the summer of 2009, Viktor Juschenko called it shameful that in some schools with a minority language as the language of instruction, there are no qualified Ukrainian language teachers<sup>2</sup>. The president instructed the leaders of the county state administrations to assess how many Ukrainian language teachers were needed in the schools of the county and to ensure that by 1 September, 2009 every school had qualified Ukrainian teachers<sup>3</sup>. The presidential order could not be executed fully. In 2011 in the Hungarian schools of the town of Beregszász, 22 teachers taught the Ukrainian language, 10 of whom had a Russian language teaching qualification, 6 were elementary teachers and only 6 had a degree in Ukrainian language and literature (Bárány, Huszti & Fábián, 2011).

Until the academic year of 2003/2004, teachers in Ukraine were not trained to teach Ukrainian as a second language (state language) for non-Ukrainian students, instead it was taught as a mother tongue. In those schools where the language of instruction is the minority language, the state language is taught by teachers who were trained to teach the Ukrainian language to students whose mother tongue is Ukrainian, or teachers with other specializations who participated in a short retraining course. In many small villages the state language is taught by persons who have no qualification in pedagogy but have a good level of language proficiency. Some teachers do not even know the language and culture of those nationalities to whom they teach the Ukrainian language (Gulpa, 2000; Póhán, 1999, 2003; Milován, 2002). However, according to *The Hague Recommendations Regarding the Education Rights of National Minorities* and language rights experts (e.g. Skutnabb-Kangas, 1990) the state language should be taught by bilingual teachers.

# 3 The lack of appropriate coursebooks

For many years after the introduction of the Ukrainian language as a mandatory subject in schools, the necessary curriculum and coursebooks were not provided by the state. When finally they became available in the minority schools, teachers heavily criticised them (Gulpa, 2000; Koljadzsin, 2003; Póhán, 1999, 2003). The reason of the critics in the first place was that the textbooks were composed by teachers and scholars who did not know the minorities, their language or culture (Gulpa 2000, Koljadzsin 2003, Póhán 1999, 2003). The other rightful critique in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://oktatas.origo.hu/20090807/nincs\_eleg\_ukran\_nyelvtanar\_karpataljan; <a href="http://www.nyest.hu/hirek/nincs-eleg-ukran-nyelvtanar-karpataljan">http://www.nyest.hu/hirek/nincs-eleg-ukran-nyelvtanar-karpataljan</a>

³http://tsn.ua/ukrayina/yushchenko-vimagaye-znaiti-po-vchitelyu-ukrayinskoyi-movi-dlya-kozhnoyi-shkoli.html

connection with the coursebooks was that they were too grammar-centred, focusing on the theoretical teaching of grammar, and they did not include any communication perspectives (Bárány, Huszti & Fábián, 2011).

The curriculum and the coursebooks do not take into consideration the language background of the students: expectations exceed possibilities. The Ukrainian language curriculum does not build on the knowledge already gained in the mother tongue and foreign language classes: it requires the acquisition of grammatical categories that have already been learnt in mother tongue classes. For instance, students already know the parts of speech (in Hungarian lessons they have learnt about verbs, nouns, adjectives, numerals, pronouns, etc.), but they have to learn them again in elementary classes in Ukrainian with their definitions, instead of focusing on speaking skills. The necessity of grammar teaching has long been debated in the language teaching literature, and recently Singleton and Cook (2014) have shown that it plays an important role in second language acquisition, though vocabulary and phonology may seem more obvious. However, grammar is overemphasized in the Ukrainian language coursebooks and one may have the impression that the leaders of education do not expect the acquisition of the Ukrainian language rather the knowledge of the Ukrainian grammar system.

Though the Ukrainian language has been a compulsory subject in the Hungarian schools since 1991, methodological aids have not been composed yet: there are no teachers' guidebooks, school dictionaries, and video- or audio-visual aids. The Ukrainian state budget does not provide methodological aids.

## 4 The lack of appropriate perspectives and methods

The Ukrainian language as a subject has the same name in the timetable of both, Ukrainian and minority schools, but means something different. In the former case, students come to school with native language proficiency, so the Ukrainian language (mother tongue) teaching, besides writing and reading, means developing knowledge and literacy in the mother tongue, awareness of the norms of the standard language variation and a grounding in foreign language learning/teaching. In the latter case, the main goal is the acquisition of the state language by non-Ukrainian students and the development of communicative skills in that language. If our starting point is the difference between these two aims, it becomes clear that we cannot use the same methods when teaching the Ukrainian language in Ukrainian and in minority schools. Baugh (1999), an American linguist, argues that the teaching of the state language (second language) according to the methodology of mother tongue teaching is a pedagogical mistake.

The need to distinguish between the two types of schools in connection with the goals and methods of teaching Ukrainian is also necessary when we look at the difference between the number of classes per week, curricula and coursebooks.

In the 11th form students of the Ukrainian schools learn the Ukrainian language subject in 44.5 classes, while students attending Hungarian schools learn the same subject in 30 classes per week (Csernicskó, 2012).

As the aims of the teaching of the Ukrainian language and other conditions and circumstances are different in the two types of schools, it is logical that the learning requirements should also be different. Nevertheless, the same requirements apply to everyone in the Ukrainian language and literature subjects. The same knowledge of Ukrainian is required from those who studied in schools with Ukrainian as a language of instruction and from those who studied in Russian, Hungarian or Romanian minority schools (Csernicskó & Ferenc 2010).

#### 5 The lack of clear-cut objectives

Clear goals and tasks are not set in connection with the academic expectations of students in Ukrainian language as a school subject.

State requirements with regard to foreign languages (English, German, French and Spanish) are fixed in writing: by the end of elementary school (Form 4) students are required to reach A1 level, by the end of primary school (Form 9) A2+ level, and by the time they leave school (Form 11) B1+ level according to the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR, 2001). The normative documents of education do not define the required levels non-Ukrainian students have to reach during their Ukrainian language studies.

In practice, this means that the Ministry of Education in Kyiv expects native-like proficiency from school-leaving minority teenagers. This is impossible from linguistic, psychological and pedagogical points of view.

#### 6 The homogenization: universal curricula, coursebooks and methods

The Ukrainian education policy homogenizes language learners. It approves universal curricula and coursebooks, even though the linguistic and language ecological situations of Ukrainian language acquisition are different for students living in cities in residential areas compared to those living in small villages. In the teaching of foreign languages it is normal to create small groups of beginners, advanced students, etc. and they proceed according to their level and are provided with teaching materials. In the case of state language teaching in Ukraine, decree No 461 issued by the Ministry of Education on 26 May 2008 permits small groups in the Ukrainian classes of the minority schools. The decree does not say anything about the principles according to which the groups should be divided or about supporting schools with regard to books and curricula for different language proficiency groups. The language proficiency level of students is not measured at all when students start school.

#### 7 Demographic features

Ukrainian language acquisition is not facilitated by the fact that the language background of students is not considered either when they start school or during schooling. According to census data, Transcarpathian nationalities live in relatively compact settlement areas. Almost half of the Hungarians (46 %) live in settlements where they have a majority of 80 % and 62 % live in settlements where they make up the absolute majority (Molnár & Molnár, 2010). Most of the Romanians also live in a relatively homogeneous block close to the Ukrainian-Romanian border. Members of the majority nation (Ukrainians) dominate the area in terms of numbers where they are settled.

#### 8 Language preferences

The Hungarian language is dominant in those settlements where Hungarians make up the majority. The main (or exclusive) language of families, the private sphere, publications and the media (TV, radio, the press) is Hungarian (see Csernicskó, 1998a, 2005, 2010).

In spite of all this, the prerequisite of those who plan Ukrainian language teaching is that all children starting school already have some level of Ukrainian language competence and it is assumed that they also have daily opportunities to practise Ukrainian outside school. This is true for some children, but for many this is not the case.

#### 9 The deficiencies of language education in kindergartens

State language acquisition should be grounded in kindergartens. There is no central curriculum or syllabus for teaching the Ukrainian language in the Hungarian kindergartens. Kindergarten teachers are not trained to teach Ukrainian to kindergarten children through different activities.

Proper language training and preparation for Ukrainian language teaching is hindered by other factors in kindergartens. For example, in most of the Transcarpathian Hungarian kindergartens the groups are mixed: children from 2.5 to 6 years of age can be found in these groups, and the number of children in one group varies from 12 to 30. In almost every kindergarten there are two activities per week in the Ukrainian language, but due to the size of the groups it is difficult to organise intensive training sessions. In practice, it is impossible to make an activity plan for mixed-age groups that considers both the linguistic background and the age of the children.

#### 10 Conclusions

According to international linguistic human rights experts (Skutnabb-Kangas, 1990; Phillipson, Rannut & Skutnabb-Kangas, 1994), the right to learn the state

language is an essential right of every minority citizen. The Hague Recommendations Regarding the Education Rights of National Minorities clearly states that official state language acquisition is needed for the successful societal integration of minorities. If we accept the fact that everybody has a mother tongue and we acknowledge the right to learn (a) the mother tongue and (b) the state language as a school subject, then we have to realize that: in the case of Ukrainian students the Ukrainian language subject covers the right of (a) and (b), however in the case of minority students point (a) means the mother tongue and point (b) means the Ukrainian language subject. So, the workload of Ukrainian and non-Ukrainian students is different: while the Ukrainian student is relaxing, playing games or preparing for the university entrance exam, their minority peers are learning the state language. It is a big luxury to invest time, energy and money in the children's state language acquisition when, due to the present conditions and circumstances, they master it to the required level.

If a Transcarpathian Hungarian student learns the Ukrainian language as a subject for 11 years (from 1st form to the 11th) and they cannot speak it at the required level, then we can be sure that the educational system does not work in the right way. The solution is not to study in the majority language but to find those possibilities within the frame of the present minority language school system, that lead to good language proficiency and additive bilingualism.

#### REFERENCES

Baugh, J. (1999). Out of the mouths of slaves: African American language and educational malpractice. Austin: University of Texas Press.

Bárány, E., Huszti, I., & Fábián, M. (2011). Második és idegen nyelv oktatása a beregszászi magyar iskolák 5. osztályában: A motiváció és nyelvi készség összefüggése az oktatáspolitikai tényezőkkel [Teaching a second language and a foreign language in Form 5 of the Beregszasz Hungarian schools: Connexion between motivation and language skills educational policy factors]. In K. Hires-László, Z. Karmacsi, & A. Márku (Eds.), *Nyelvi mítoszok, ideológiák, nyelvpolitika és nyelvi emberi jogok Közép-Európában elméletben és gyakorlatban: A 16. Élőnyelvi Konferencia előadásai* [Language myths, ideologies, language policy and language human rights in Central Europe in theory and practice: Proceedings of the 16th Modern Language Conference] (pp. 145-154). Budapest – Beregszász: Tinta Könyvkiadó – II. Rákóczi Ferenc Kárpátaljai Magyar Főiskola Hodinka Antal Intézete.

Beregszászi, A., Csernicskó, I., & Orosz, I. (2001). *Nyelv, oktatás, politika* [Language, education, politics]. Beregszász: Kárpátaljai Magyar Tanárképző Főiskola.

CEFR – Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment. (2001). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press and Council of Europe.

Csernicskó, I. (1998a). *A magyar nyelv Ukrajnában (Kárpátalján)* [The Hungarian language in Ukraine (Transcarpathia)]. Budapest: Osiris Kiadó – MTA Kisebbségkutató Műhely.

Csernicskó, I. (1998b). Az ukrán nyelv Kárpátalján [The Ukrainian language in Transcarpathia]. *Regio*, 1, 5-48.

Csernicskó, I. (1998c). Az ukrán nyelv oktatása Kárpátalja magyar iskoláiban [Teaching the Ukrainian language in the Hungarian schools of Transcarpathia]. In I. Lanstyák, & G. Szabómihály (Eds.),

- Nyelvi érintkezések a Kárpát-medencében különös tekintettel a magyarpárú kétnyelvűségre [Language contacts in the Carpathian basin, with special respect to bilingualism with Hungarian as one of the participating languages] (pp. 44-59). Pozsony: Kalligram Könyvkiadó A Magyar Köztársaság Kulturális Intézete.
- Csernicskó, I. (1999). Egy jelenség és ami mögötte van: az ukrán nyelv és a kárpátaljai magyarság [A phenomenon and what behind it is]. *UngBereg: Első Pánsíp-almanach* (pp. 88-102).
- Csernicskó, I. (2001). Az ukrán nyelv oktatásának problémái Kárpátalja magyar iskoláiban [The problems of teaching the Ukrainian language in the Hungarian schools of Transcarpathia]. *Nyelvünk és Kultúránk, 2,* 15-23.
- Csernicskó, I. (2004). Egy megoldatlan probléma: az államnyelv oktatása a kárpátaljai magyar tannyelvű iskolákban [An unsolved problem: Teaching the state language in the Transcarpathian Hungarian schools]. In I. Huszti (Ed.), *Idegennyelv-oktatás kisebbségi környezetben* [Foreign language teaching in a minority context] (pp. 113-123). Ungvár: PoliPrint Kárpátaljai Magyar Tanárképző Főiskola.
- Csernicskó, I. (2005). Hungarian in Ukraine. In A. Fenyvesi (Ed.), *Hungarian language contact outside Hungary. Studies on Hungarian as a minority language* (pp. 89-131). Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Csernicskó, I. (2009а). Напрямки мовної освіти України і угорськомовна освіта на Закарпатті [Directions of language education in Ukraine and Hungarian language education in Transcarpathia]. *Acta Beregsasiensis*, 8(2), 97-106.
- Csernicskó, I. (2009b). Проблемні питання викладання української мови у школах з угорською мовою навчання [Problematic questions of teaching the Ukrainian language in schools with Hungarian language of instruction]. In Ю. Герцог (Ed.), Державотворча й об'єднувальна функції української мови: реалії, здобутки, перспективи [State-forming and combining functions of the Ukrainian language: realia, results, perspectives] (pp. 105-116). Ужгород: Поліграф центр Ліра.
- Csernicskó, I. (2011). Ще раз про проблеми викладання української мови у школах з угорською мовою навчання [The problems of teaching the Ukrainian language in schools with Hungarian language of instruction reviseted]. In Ю. Герцог (Ed.), Розвиток гуманітарного співробітництва в українсько-угорському прикордонному регіоні: аналіз, оцінки [The development of humanitarian cooperation in the Ukrainian-Hungarian border region: analysis, evaluations] (рр. 213-224). Ужгород: Поліграфцентр "Ліра".
- Csernicskó, I. (2012). *Megtanulunk-e ukránul? A kárpátaljai magyarok és az ukrán nyelv* [Will we have learnt Ukrainian? The Transcarpathian Hungarians and the Ukrainian language]. Ungvár: PoliPrint.
- Csernicskó, I. (Ed.) (2010). *Nyelvek, emberek, helyzetek: A magyar, ukrán és orosz nyelv használata a kárpátaljai magyar közösségben* [Languages, people, situations: Using Hungarian, Ukrainian and Russian in the Transcarpathian Hungarian community]. Ungvár: PoliPrint.
- Csernicskó, I., & Ferenc, V. (2010). Education as an ideal means of achieveing a nation state in Ukraine. In J. Róka (Ed.), *Concepts and consequences of multilingualism in Europe* (pp. 329-349). Budapest: Budapest College of Communication and Business.
- Csernicskó, I., & Ferenc, V. (2014). Hegemonic, regional, minority and language policy in Subcarpathia: A historical overview and the present-day situation. *Natiolaties Papers*, 42(3), 399-435.
- Gulpa, L. (2000). Особливості розвитку шкільництва національних меншин у Закарпатській області [Peculiarities of development of education in the Transcarpathian oblast]. In K. Horváth, M. Fábián (Eds.), *Ювілейний збірник на честь 70-річчя від дня народження професора Петра Лизанця* [Birthday greeting volume in honour of Professor Petro Lizanets] (pp. 186-192). Ужгород: Ужгородський державний університет.
- Koljadzsin, N. (2003). Про специфіку організації навчання української мови в угорськомовних школах [About the peculiarity of organizing teaching the Ukrainian language in schools with Hungarian language of instruction]. *Acta Beregsasiensis*, *3*, 76-81.
- Milován, Andrea 2002. Az ukrán nyelv oktatása Kárpátalja magyar tannyelvű iskoláiban [Teaching the Ukrainian language in the Hungarian schools of Transcarpathia]. *Kisebbségkutatás*, 4, 984-989.

Molnár, J., & Molnár, D. I. (2010). A kárpátaljai magyarság népességföldrajzi viszonyai [Demographic conditions of the Transcarpathian Hungarians]. In I. Csernicskó (Ed.), *Megtart a szó. Hasznosítható ismeretek a kárpátaljai magyar nyelvhasználatról* [The word keeps us: Useful knowledge about the Hungarian language use in Transcarpathia] (pp. 15-32). Budapest – Beregszász: MTA Magyar Tudományosság Külföldön Elnöki Bizottság – Hodinka Antal Intézet.

- Motilchak, М. (2009). Шляхи поліпшення вивчення української мови як державної у загальноосвітніх навчальних закладах області з навчанням мовами національних меншин [Ways of improving learning Ukrainian as the state language in the Transcarpathian schools of national minorities]. Іп Ю. Герцог (Еd.), Державотворча й об'єднувальна функції української мови: реалії, здобутки, перспективи [State-forming and combining functions of the Ukrainian language: realia, results, perspectives] (pp. 36-44). Ужтород, Поліграфцентр Ліра.
- Orosz, I., & Csernicskó, I. (1999). The Hungarians in Transcarpathia. Budapest: Tinta Publishers.
- Phillipson, R., Rannut, M., & Skutnabb-Kangas, T. (1994). Introduction. In T. Skutnabb-Kangas, R. Phillipson (Eds.), *Linguistic human rights: Overcoming linguistic discrimination* (pp. 1-22). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Póhán, К. (1999). Державна мова в школах національних меншин [The state language in the schools of national minorities]. Українська мова і література в школі, 4, 55-57.
- Póhán, K. (2003). Проблеми і перспективи навчання державної мови учнів-угорців [Problems and perspectives of teaching the state language to Hungarian pupils]. *Українська мова і література в школі, 8*, 52-55.
- Singleton, D., & Cook, V. (2014). *Key topics in second language acquisition*. Bristol: Multilingual Matters.
- Skutnabb-Kangas, T. (1990). *Language, literacy and minorities*. London: A Minorities Rights Group Report.

#### **CONTRIBUTORS**

Magda AJTAY-HORVÁTH is College professor at the Department of English Language and Literature of the College of Nyíregyháza. Her professional interest covers the interface area between linguistics and literature: stylistic studies, comparative stylistics, translation studies, pragmatics and text linguistics. She is the author of two books: *A szecesszió stílusjegyei az angol és a magyar irodalomban*. (Kolozsvár: Erdélyi Múzeum Egyesület, 2001) and *Szövegek, nyelvek, kultúrák*. (Nyíregyháza: Bessenyei György Könyvkiadó, 2010).

**Erzsébet B**ÁRÁNY is a teacher of Ukrainian. She has obtained her PhD in Slav Linguistics. Her research focuses on Rusyn borrowings in Hungarian. Besides, she is interested in teaching Ukrainian in the Hungarian schools of Transcarpathia. She has carried out research into this area investigating the learners' general knowledge of Ukrainian.

Csilla Bartha is senior research fellow and Head of the Research Centre for Multilingualism in the Research Institute for Linguistics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and also Associate Professor at Eötvös Loránd University. Her primary interests lie in sociolinguistics; bi- and multilingualism, linguistics minorities, minority education, Deaf communities and Sign Languages, linguistic human rights (language maintenance, revitalization, minority education).

**István** CSERNICSKÓ is a teacher and researcher of sociolinguistics. He holds a PhD and a CSc in Hungarian linguistics. He is director of the Hodinka Antal Research Institute. He is the author of numerous books, research articles, and chapters of edited books. He is the member of the editorial boards of three academic journals (*Acta Academiae Beregsasiensis, Anyanyelv-pedagógia* and *Regio*.

**Márta F**ÁBIÁN has been teaching English at the Hungarian Grammar School in Beregszász since 1996, and since 1997 – at the Ferenc Rákóczi II. Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute. Between 2007 and 2010 she completed a PhD course at Pannon University. Since 2012 she has been working as a consultant and supervisor of foreign language teaching at the department of education of Beregszász district.

**Gyula Fodor** did his PhD studies at the Doctoral School of Earth Sciences of the University of Debrecen, Hungary. He obtained his PhD degree in 2010. His main research interest is the improvement of human resources of Transcarpathia from the inter-ethnic point-of-view. He is the author of *A humán erőforrások állapota és a nemzetiségek közötti viszony Kárpátalján* (2012, Debrecen).

**Jerrold Frank** is the Regional English Language Officer at the U.S. Embassy in Kyiv, Ukraine. In addition to all of Ukraine, Jerrold manages and supports English language programming for the U.S. State Department in Moldova, Belarus, Armenia, Georgia, and Azerbaijan. Prior to joining the State Department in 2011, Jerrold taught at a University in Japan for 22 years. He has also taught and trained teachers in the United States and Korea.

**Beatrix Henkel** graduated from the Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute in 2005 majoring in English. In 2006 she started her PhD studies in Language Pedagogy at Eötvös University, in Hungary. In 2013 Beatrix defended her dissertation, the title of which was *The attitude and motivation of learners of Ukrainian and English in Transcarpathia*.

**József Horváth** is associate professor at the University of Pécs, Hungary. His research focuses on the cross section between corpus linguistics and writing pedagogy. He has published two monographs about questions related to these fields, most recently last year. His current research aims to capture what makes student fiction and non-fiction writing in EFL original.

**Ilona Huszti, PhD** teaches and researches language pedagogy in Transcarpathia. Her research interests include reading in EFL, teaching English and Ukrainian in the Hungarian schools of Beregszász and English teacher training issues in Transcarpathia. She is author of two books: about reading miscues in EFL and a general methodology book for foreign language teachers.

**Éva I**LLÉS teaches at the Department of English Applied Linguistics at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest. She holds a PhD in ESOL from the Institute of Education, University of London. She has a wide range of experience, including teaching English in Britain and Hungary. Her current research interests are pragmatics, ELF, ELT and teacher education.

**Júlia Kov**ács During her undergraduate studies she was granted with a scholarship to Japan, where she studied Japanese language and culture. She graduated as an English teacher at the University of Pécs and she is currently enrolled in the PhD program of English Applied Linguistics at the University of Pécs, in Hungary.

**Ilona** LECHNER is a third-year PhD student at the Cultural Linguistics Program of the Linguistics Doctoral School of ELTE University, Budapest. Her research area is cognitive linguistics, within which she focuses on cognitive metaphor theory. She has been teaching German at the Ferenc Rákóczi II. Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute since 2005.

Anita Márku obtained her PhD in psycholinguistics. At present, she is Postdoctoral Researcher at Linguistics Institute, Hungarian Academy of Science, Research Center for Multilingualism in Budapest, Hungary and a researcher at the Antal Hodinka Institute of the Ferenc Rákóczi II Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute, Beregszász/Berehovo, Ukraine.

**Péter Medgyes** is professor emeritus of applied linguistics at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest. Previously, he was a schoolteacher, teacher trainer, vice rector of his university, deputy state secretary at the Hungarian Ministry of Education, and ambassador of Hungary posted in Damascus. Professor Medgyes is the author of numerous books and articles, including *The Non-Native Teacher* (1994, winner of the Duke of Edinburgh Book Competition), *Changing Perspectives in Teacher Education* (1996, co-edited with Angi Malderez), *A nyelvtanár* [*The Language Teacher*] (1997), *Laughing Matters* (2002), *Mi ebben a vicc?* [*How is This for Fun?*] (2008) and *Aranykor – Nyelvoktatásunk két évtizede: 1989-2009* [*Golden Age – Twenty Years of Foreign Language Education in Hungary: 1989-2009*] (2011). His main professional interests lie in language policy, teacher education, and humour research.

Marianna Negre is a former graduate of the Ferenc Rákóczi II. Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute. Now, she is doing Masters Studies at the University of Pannonia, Hungary. Being multilingual herself, her main research interest is multilingualism from the language pedagogy perspective. Currently she is also a volunteer at the American Corner, in Veszprém.

**Ildikó O**ROSZ is president of the Ferenc Rákóczi II Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute. She holds a PhD and a Kandidat Nauk degree in pedagogy. Her main research interest focuses on Hungarian minority education in Transcarpathia. She is author of numerous academic articles and monographs. Since 1991 she has been president of the Transcarpathian Hungarian Pedagogical Association.

**Krisztina P**ECSORA graduated from Ferenc Rákóczi II. Transcarpathian Hungarian Institute and did her Masters degree at Uzhhorod National University in 2011. Since 2013 she has been a PhD student at Eszterházy Károly College in the Pedagogy Doctoral Program, majoring in language pedagogy. She is a second-year trainee at Collegium Talentum.

**Granville PILLAR, PhD** is a lecturer at the University College of Nyíregyháza, Hungary, and teaches at the Institute of Linguistics and Literary Studies. Originally from Northern Ireland, he has worked in South Africa, Germany and Australia. He holds degrees in the fields of Education, Applied Linguistics, Electrical Engineering and Theology.

**Katalin Szerencsi, PhD** has been involved in ELT for 40, and teacher training for 25 years. In her PhD, she investigated the grammatical competence differences between non-native and native speaker teachers of English. Her recent research interests include issues in cognitive semantics, linguistic creativity, and language play.

**Krisztina Sző**cs is a Geography and EFL teacher in a secondary school in Hungary with 12 years of teaching experience. She is also a 2nd year doctoral school student with special interest in teachers' beliefs and learner autonomy.

**Tamás V**RAUKÓ obtained his doctoral degree in linguistics from Lajos Kossuth University, Debrecen, 1994, and his PhD in American Literature from the University of Warsaw, 2004. He was a lecturer/senior lecturer at György Bessenyei College of Higher Education, Nyíregyháza, Hungary between 1988-2011. At present he is senior lecturer at the University of Miskolc. His research interests include British and American history and translation studies.

Сучасні напрямки в навчанні іноземних мов та в прикладній лінгвістиці. / За редакцією Ілона Густі та Ілона Лехнер - Ужгород: Вид-во "Графіка" - 248 с. (англійською мовою).

Збірник вміщує матеріали виступів учасників міжнародної наукової конференції 11 квітня 2014 року в Закарпатському угорському інституті ім. Ференца Ракоці ІІ. Робота конференції проводилася у двох секціях. У першій представлено результати досліджень з методики викладання іноземних мов, у другій порушено проблеми прикладної лінгвістики. Серед учасників конференції були і всесвітньо відомі вчені, які доповіли про сучасні напрямки своїх досліджень.

Видання адресоване науковій спільноті.

ББК: к74.261.7(4Укр.)

УДК: 058:81

C - 96

Редакція: *Густі І., Лехнер І.*Верстка: *Товтін В.*Обкладинка: *К&Р*Відповідальні за випуск: *Орос І., Сікура Й.*