

13th International ISAAC Congress
August 2–6, 2021 - Ghent, Belgium

Book of Abstracts



ISAAC Board

- President: Michael Reissig (TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Germany)
- Vicepresident: Uwe Kähler (University of Aveiro, Portugal)
- Secretary and Treasurer: Irene Sabadini (Politecnico di Milano, Italy)
- Paula Cerejeiras (University of Aveiro, Portugal)
- Fabrizio Colombo (Politecnico di Milano, Italy)
- Robert Gilbert (University of Delaware, USA)
- Anatoly Golberg (HIT Holon Institute of Technology, Israel)
- Michael Kunzinger (University of Vienna, Austria)
- Vladimir Mityushev (Pedagogical University Krakow, Poland)
- Zouhair Mouayn (Université Sultan Moulay Slimane de Beni-Mellal, Morocco)
- Michael Oberguggenberger (University of Innsbruck, Austria)
- Marcelo Rempel Ebert (Universidade de Sao Paulo, Brazil)
- Luigi Rodino (University of Turin, Italy)
- Michael Ruzhansky (Ghent University, Belgium)
- Mitsuru Sugimoto (Nagoya University, Japan)
- Joachim Toft (Linnaeus University, Sweden)
- Ville Turunen (Aalto University, Finland)
- Jasson Vindas (Ghent University, Belgium)
- Jens Wirth (University of Stuttgart, Germany)
- Man Wah Wong (York University Toronto, Canada)

Local Organizing Committee

- Chair: Jasson Vindas (Ghent University)
- Tommaso Bruno (Ghent University)
- Andreas Debrouwere (Ghent University)
- Gregory Debruyne (Ghent University)
- Peter De Maesschalck (University of Hasselt)
- Hans Vernaeve (Ghent University)

with technical support by Geert Vernaeve

Abstracts

Plenary talks	12
Maarten de Hoop: Inverse problems in anisotropic elasticity and waves	12
Guido De Philippis: (Boundary) Regularity for Mass Minimising currents	13
Stéphane Jaffard: Multivariate Multifractal Analysis	14
Barbara Kaltenbacher: Nonlinear Acoustic Waves: Modeling - Analysis - Numerics - Optimization	15
Arno Kuijlaars: Universality for eigenvalues of random matrices	16
Kristian Seip: Contractive inequalities for Hardy spaces	17
Session 1: Applications of Dynamical Systems Theory in Biology	18
Hassel Aurora Alcalá Garrido	18
Iulia Martina Bulai	18
Iyaya C.C.Wanjala	19
Subhendu Chakraborty	19
David Cheban	19
Valery Gaiko	20
Antoni Guillamon	21
Natali Hritonenko	21
Anatoli Ivanov	21
Torsten Lindström	22
Luisa Malaguti	22
Angela Martiradonna	22
Telmo Peixe	23
Simon Serovajsky	23
Cristiana J. Silva	23
Gunnar Söderbacka	24
Mads Peter Sørensen	24
Katarzyna Szymanska-Debowska	24
Gail Wolkowicz	25
John Wyller	25
Lyazzat Zhunussova	25
Session 2: Challenges in STEM Education	27
Vera Ferdianova	27
Natali Hritonenko	27
Jozef Hvorecky	27
Antonin Jancarik	28
Lilla Korenova	28
Péter Körtési	28
Zsolt Lavicza	29
Natálie Nevřelová	29
Gabriella Papp	30
Zetra Hainul Putra	30
Mirosława Sajka	30
Vanda Santos	31
Nazgul Toiganbayeva	31
Zuzana Václavíková	32
Daniela Velichová	32
Tommy Tanu Wijaya	33
Renata Zemanova	33
Zhanat Zhunussova	34
Session 3: Complex Analysis and Partial Differential Equations	35
Natalia Adukova	35
Samer Ahmed	35

Umit Aksoy	35
Sergei Bosiakov	35
Mustapha Bouallala	36
A. Okay Celebi	36
Natalia Chinchaladze	36
Roland Duduchava	37
Liliana Esquivel	37
Robert Gilbert	37
George Giorgobiani	38
Bakur Gulua	38
George Jaiani	38
Claudia Jimenez Heredia	38
David Katz	39
Nino Manjavidze	39
Sergey E. Mikhailov	39
Mussakan Muratbekov	39
Tuen Wai Ng	40
Jacques Riche	40
Sergei Rogosin	41
Ilya Spitkovsky	41
George Tephnadze	41
Carmen Judith Vanegas	42
Yongzhi Steve Xu	42
Session 4: Complex Variables and Potential Theory	44
Elena Afanasjeva	44
Tugba Akyel	44
Iryna Denega	44
Arran Fernandez	45
Anatoly Golberg	45
Serhii Gryshchuk	46
Isao Ishikawa	46
Sergei Kalmykov	46
H. Turgay Kaptanoglu	47
Dmitrii Karp	47
Bogdan Klishchuk	47
Mirela Kohr	48
Massimo Lanza de Cristoforis	48
Paolo Luzzini	48
Paolo Musolino	49
Bülent Nafi Örnek	49
Tetiana Osipchuk	49
Marco Pérez	50
Sergiy Plaksa	50
Elena Prilepkina	50
Igor Reznichenko	51
Olivier Sete	51
Liudmyla Vyhivska	52
Session 5: Constructive Methods in the Theory of Composite and Porous Media	53
Igor Andrianov	53
Yeskendyr Ashimov	53
Sergei Bosiakov	54
Piotr Drygaś	54
Grigori Giorgadze	55

Tatjana Gric	55
Bakur Gulua	55
Giorgi Kakulashvili	55
Alexander Kolpakov	56
Beata Krzaczek	56
Pawel Kurtyka	57
Vladimir Mityushev	57
Mohamed Nasser	58
David Natroshvili	58
Katarzyna Necka	58
Marcin Paszkuta	58
Michal Stawiarz	59
Ryszard Wojnar	59
Michele Zaccaron	60
Session 6: Function spaces and their applications to nonlinear evolution equations	61
Neal Bez	61
Xiuqing Chen	61
Zihua Guo	61
Lijia Han	61
Chengchun Hao	62
Zhaohui Huo	62
Tsukasa Iwabuchi	62
Keiichi Kato	62
Tomoya Kato	63
Shinya Kinoshita	63
Nobu Kishimoto	63
Masaharu Kobayashi	63
Baoping Liu	64
Koichi Taniguchi	64
Naohito Tomita	64
Yohei Tsutsui	64
Xuecheng Wang	65
Yuzhao Wang	65
Jiang Xu	65
Lifeng Zhao	65
Session 7: Generalized Functions and Applications	66
Rahmani Abdelkader	66
Teodor Atanacković	66
Sanja Atanasova	66
Christian Bargetz	67
Fatma Zohra Ben Cheikh	67
Frederik Broucke	67
Marianna Chatzakou	67
Min-Jun Choi	68
Sandro Coriasco	68
Andreas Debrouwere	68
Claudia Garetto	68
Paolo Giordano	69
Snežana Gordić	69
Jaeho Hwang	69
Tillmann Kleiner	69
Irina Kmit	70
Petar Kunstek	70

Michael Kunzinger	70
Jean-André Marti	71
Irina Melnikova	71
Svetlana Minchava-Kamińska	71
Marko Nedeljkov	72
Lenny Neyt	72
Michael Oberguggenberger	72
Stevan Pilipović	73
Bojan Prangoski	73
Dora Seleši	73
Abhishek Singh	74
Diana Stoeva	74
Nenad Teofanov	74
Daniel Velinov	74
Hans Vernaeve	75
Erik Verriest	75
Jasson Vindas	75
Session 8: Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations	76
Obidjon Abdullaev	76
Achak Azzedine	76
Federico Bastianoni	76
El Mehdi Berkak	77
Imane Berkak	77
Neal Bez	77
Jonas Brinker	77
Duván Cardona	78
Noboru Chikami	78
Piero D’Ancona	78
Radouan Daher	79
Karlygash Dosmagulova	79
Salah El Ouadih	79
Serena Federico	79
Daniele Garrisi	80
Vagif Guliyev	80
Iness Haouala	80
Akylbek Kerimbekov	81
Shunsuke Kitamura	82
Hideo Kubo	82
Ning-An Lai	82
Annunziata Loiudice	83
Xiaojun Lu	83
Frederick Maes	83
Mehriban Omarova	83
Ivan Pombo	84
Joel E. Restrepo	84
Bolys Sabitbek	85
Najat Safouane	85
Raffaele Scandone	85
Mitsuru Sugimoto	85
Durvudkhan Suragan	85
Koichi Taniguchi	86
Igor Trushin	86
Ville Turunen	86

Abhilash Tushir	86
Karel Van Bockstal	87
Vitali Vougalter	87
Nurgissa Yessirkegenov	87
Yi Zhou	88
Session 9: Integral Transforms and Reproducing Kernels	89
Dong Hyun Cho	89
Yuka Hashimoto	89
Smiljana Jakšić	89
Selma Negzaoui	90
Faustino Nelson	90
Kohei Noda	90
Saburo Saitoh	91
Corbinian Schlosser	91
Sho Sonoda	91
Session 10: Operator Theory and Harmonic Analysis	93
Alexandre Almeida	93
Ismail Aslan	93
Víctor Barrera-Figueroa	93
Oscar Blasco	94
Natalia Bondarenko	94
Lyubov Britvina	94
Claudia Capone	95
Radouan Daher	95
Konstantin Dyakonov	95
Jocelyn Gonessa	95
Davit Harutyunyan	95
Michael Hitrik	96
Grigori Karagulyan	96
Mikhail Karapetyants	96
Alexei Karlovich	97
Vakhtang Kokilashvili	97
Zalina Kusraeva	98
Pier Domenico Lamberti	98
Fernando Leon Saavedra	98
Samantha Loredó	98
Anna Mazzucato	99
Alexander Meskhi	99
Eiichi Nakai	99
Carlos Perez Moreno	99
Joel E. Restrepo	100
Yoshihiro Sawano	100
Benoit Florent Sehba	100
Eugene Shargorodsky	100
Elina Shishkina	101
Alexander L. Skubachevskii	101
Ioannis Stratis	101
Jari Taskinen	102
Sundaram Thangavelu	102
Sergii Torba	102
Othman Tyr	103
Rüya Üster	103
Víctor A. Vicente-Benitez	103

Jani Virtanen	104
Luca Zampogni	104
Session 11: Operator Theory and Time-dependent PDEs	106
Jussi Behrndt	106
Shane Cooper	106
James Kennedy	106
Dirk Pauly	107
Friedrich Philipp	107
Rainer Picard	107
Felix Schwenninger	108
Christian Seifert	108
Olesya Solonukha	108
Sascha Trostorff	109
Carsten Trunk	109
Marcus Waurick	109
Session 12: Partial differential equations on curved spacetimes	110
Andras Balogh	110
Dean Baskin	110
Marcelo Ebert	110
Anahit Galstyan	111
Sergey Grigorian	111
Lavi Karp	111
Baoping Liu	111
Makoto Nakamura	112
Jose Natario	112
Alessandro Palmieri	112
Hiroyuki Takamura	112
Hiroshi Takeda	113
Takuya Tsuchiya	113
Michal Wrochna	113
Karen Yagdjian	113
Shiwu Yang	114
Session 13: Pseudo Differential Operators	115
Ahmed Abdeljawad	115
Jonathan Ben-Benjamin	115
Viorel Catană	115
Leon Cohen	116
Gianluca Garello	116
Ivan Ivec	116
Manish Kumar	116
Vishvesh Kumar	117
Guozhen Lu	117
Alessandro Morando	117
Luigi Giacomo Rodino	117
Joachim Toft	118
Ivana Vojnovic	118
Djordje Vuckovic	118
Patrik Wahlberg	119
Session 14: Quaternionic and Clifford Analysis	120
Ricardo Abreu Blaya	120
Eusebio Ariza Garcia	120
Hamed Baghal Ghaffari	120
Swanhild Bernstein	120

Cinzia Bisi	121
Dmitry Bryukhov	121
Paula Cerejeiras	122
Fabrizio Colombo	122
Chiara de Fabritiis	122
Antonino De Martino	123
Benjamin De Zayas	123
Kamal Diki	123
Antonio di Teodoro	123
Neil Kristofer Dizon	124
David Eelbode	124
Abdelghani El Gargati	124
Sirkka-Liisa Eriksson	124
Nelson Faustino	125
Milton Ferreira	125
Graziano Gentili	125
Yuri Grigoriev	125
Ali Guzman Adan	126
Eckhard Hitzer	126
Jeffrey Hogan	126
Ren Hu	127
David Kimsey	127
Rolf Sören Kraußhar	127
Roman Lavicka	128
Dmitrii Legatiuk	128
Matvei Libine	128
Maria Elena Luna-Elizarraras	128
Peter Massopust	129
Teppo Mertens	129
Joao Morais	129
Pablo Moreira	129
Craig Nolder	130
Stefano Pinton	130
Guangbin Ren	131
John Ryan	131
Gamaliel Yafte Tellez Sanchez	131
Mihaela Vajiac	131
Nelson Vieira	132
Liping Wang	132
Wei Wang	132
Ugur Yuksel	133
Session 15: Recent Progress in Evolution Equations	134
Alexandre Arias Junior	134
Alessia Ascanelli	134
Marco Cappiello	134
Wenhui Chen	135
Ruy Coimbra Charao	135
Tuan Anh Dao	136
Andrei Faminskii	136
Vladimir Georgiev	136
Giovanni Girardi	137
Massimo Gobbino	137
Fumihiko Hirosawa	137

Marcio Jorge da Silva	138
Akisato Kubo	138
Jiayun Lin	138
Sandra Lucente	138
Duong Pham Trieu	139
Tiago Picon	139
Session 16: Wavelet theory and its Related Topics	140
Yoshihiro Aihara	140
Isiaka Aremua	140
Ryuichi Ashino	140
Abdelaali Dades	140
Keiko Fujita	140
Mai Fujita	141
Yun-Zhang Li	141
Yoshiroh Machigashira	141
Takeshi Mandai	141
Akira Morimoto	141
Toshio Suzuki	142

of the concept. Computer algebra is a tool, a fantastically powerful tool, but only to the extent that it is consciously used to interpret the computer's "responses" correctly and to consciously find the methods that are most appropriate for a specific task, technical application, and in the computer program we need to "correctly" ask our questions, "translate" into the language of mathematics the task to be solved in real life, or the task to be fulfilled in the technical question and finally the result obtained is properly interpreted and "reversed" into the language of real life or technical application. The principle of white box mathematics means that computer algebra helps those who use it not only to help them solve tasks, but also to further develop them, to raise new questions and, ultimately, to a higher level of understanding and application of mathematics, see Buchberger [2002].

Buchberger [1990] Bruno Buchberger: Should students learn integration rules? *Sigsam Bulletin*, Vol. 24(1). Pp. 10-17.

Buchberger [2002] Bruno Buchberger: Computer Algebra: The End of Mathematics? *ACM Sigsam Bulletin*, Vol. 36. No1. March 2002.

Developing algorithmic thinking within STEM education for the preparation of future primary school teachers using robotic toys

Zsolt Lavicza

Faculty of Education, Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia

korenova@fedu.uniba.sk

Joint work with Lilla Korenova

The development of algorithmic thinking for children in primary education is usually a task within the subjects of mathematics, informatics, but also involves other science subjects. With programmable robotic toys such as BeeBot, Botley, etc. children can be taught algorithmic thinking already in kindergarten. However, teachers must have sufficient knowledge of how to use these digital tools in STEM education to successfully integrate them at all levels of education. At Comenius University, we carried out research with future primary education teachers and examined their uses of robots in classrooms for a semester. We will report on the influence of robotic toys on teachers' and students' their motivation, creativities in a constructivist educational environment.

Use of augmented reality in STEAM education at primary school

Natálie Nevřelová

University of Ostrava, Czech Republic

nevrelovan@gmail.com

Nowadays, children are constantly surrounded by mobile technologies. Children use them from an early age. Some teachers perceive them negatively, but they can assist in teaching if we use them appropriately. This work presents findings of some possibilities for using mobile technologies, especially the augmented reality application Quiver usable in teaching in primary education. The method used for this research is quality research observation and we used semistructured interviews with children. The aim of the unstructured observation were proceedings of the teaching process via his/her teaching, the teacher began to consciously support the pupils' digital literacy development through augmented reality. The results of the pre-research form the base for the direction of the further study concerning the augmented reality in teaching practice. The paper focuses on the educational potential of augmented reality for support in primary education. The chapter presents the opportunities that augmented reality brings to primary education. The author gives a brief overview of selected application suitable for primary school children. She then focuses on application Quiver, which used in a research project in Primary school. The research shows that children are more motivate or not with application with augmented reality and if their estimation improves. The research aim is to create a model for didactic support to develop digital literacy of children in primary education through augmented reality in STEAM education. The main aim is to find out the impact and benefits of augmented reality on development of childrens' digital literacy and imagination. In the research I observed pupils in the digital environment of Quiver application. This application helps pupils visualize mathematical objects and many other things that are difficult to imagine. The author observed students' behaviour during using application and whether they were motivated by their work.

Usage of online platforms in education of mathematics in Transcarpathia at the beginning of quarantine

Gabriella Papp

University of Debrecen, Hungary
p.gabica.17@gmail.com

Distance learning and e-learning as concepts have been in our minds for a long time. In March 2020, they suddenly gained great importance due to the introduction of quarantine and were immediately put into practice. It had to be applied in the everyday lives of teachers and students with surprising speed.

The goal of this research is to assess and demonstrate how teachers overcome the difficulties of mathematics education in distance learning. For this purpose, a month later after the beginning of distance education, I conducted a questionnaire survey among 20 teachers of mathematics in Transcarpathia with several different work experiences and who teach in several educational institutions. They were asked how education went on during quarantine, how they chose the platforms and methods needed to hold their lessons, what the checking and testing process was, what advantages and disadvantages they faced in distance learning.

Prospective elementary teachers' first experiences on designing Geogebra learning activities: Potentials and challenges

Zetra Hainul Putra

University of Riau, Indonesia
zetra.hainul.putra@lecturer.unri.ac.id
Joint work with N. Hermita, D. Dahnilsyah

The integration of technology in learning is increasingly popular and inevitable, especially during the Covid-19 pandemic. In mathematics education, dynamic mathematics software, such as GeoGebra, has been used as a pedagogical tool in learning mathematics from primary school to university, thus supporting teachers' competencies on using technologies as instructional mathematical learning becomes crucial. Therefore, the present study explores prospective elementary teachers' first experiences designing GeoGebra learning instruction. Thirty-eight prospective elementary teachers work in small groups of 2-4 members to discuss and design learning activities based on GeoGebra. We present some examples of their projects aiming to explore some potentials and challenges from integrating GeoGebra as a pedagogical tool to support students' learning.

Forming the concept of function at the intersection of mathematics and physics

Mirosława Sajka

Pedagogical University of Krakow, Poland
mirosława.sajka@up.krakow.pl
Joint work with R. Rosiek

The recent school reform in Poland has introduced some significant changes. The phenomenon of lack of correlation between mathematics and physics teaching in the context of concept of function which has been relocated to the secondary school level of mathematics, but is needed in the teaching of kinematics at the elementary school level of physics was the subject of our analysis in the article (Sajka, Rosiek, 2019). We posed two constructive recommendations on how to get out of this impasse. First was that mathematics teachers should teach the needed propaedeutic of the notion of function before (or at the same time) physics education starts in primary school (7th grade in Poland). This should be done purposefully during mathematics classes, with extreme care taken in regard to choosing the proper examples, including those based on movement analysis, and not only on statistical analysis, as recommended by the Polish Ministry of Education. Secondly, the optimal solution seems to be cross-curricular teaching, so that physics and mathematics teachers can introduce mutually-related issues, both emphasizing what is important from the standpoint of their science. This solution is in line with idea of STEM education - an issue which has recently been explored by researchers. In this presentation we would like to demonstrate chosen results of our research on attempts to implement these recommendations, combining our first and second conclusion. Our research involved a teacher teaching both physics and mathematics. In the presentation